In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

C.W.P.No.10718 of 2004

Date of Decision: 13.11.2006

Sumitra …Petitioner.

VERSUS

Haryana State & Others. …Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

Present: Mr.Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Harish Rathee, Sr.DAG Haryana for respondent No.1

Mr.Mukul Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.2

JUDGMENT

M.M.KUMAR, J.(ORAL)

The relief claimed for in the petition has been granted to the petitioner. The learned State counsel has also placed on record copy of the receipt duly thumb marked by the petitioner, acknowledging bank draft dated 8.11.2006 for an amount of Rs.1,04,988/-, on account of pension and family pension, photocopy of which is Mark 'A'. Another receipt has also been placed on record, photocopy of which is Mark 'B' stating that noting is due to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, if any other claim of the petitioner is pending, the same may be made by sending representation.

(M.M.KUMAR)
Judge

November 13, 2006 **(M.M.S.BEDI)**
dkb **Judge**